arXiv:1205.5429v1 [math.LO] 24 May 2012

FROM BOLZANO-WEIERSTRASS TO ARZELÀ-ASCOLI

ALEXANDER P. KREUZER

Abstract. We show how one can obtain solutions to the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem using suitable applications of the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle. With this, we can apply the results from [9] and obtain a classification of the strength of instances of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem and a variant of it.

Let AA be the statement that each equicontinuous sequence of functions $f_n\colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ contains a subsequence that converges uniformly with the rate 2^{-k} and let $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ be the statement that each such sequence contains a subsequence which converges uniformly but possibly without any rate.

We show that AA is instance-wise equivalent over RCA_0 to the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle BW and that $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ is instance-wise equivalent over WKL_0 to $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}$, and thus to the strong cohesive principle (StCOH). Moreover, we show that over RCA_0 the principles $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$, $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}} + \mathsf{WKL}$ and $\mathsf{StCOH} + \mathsf{WKL}$ are equivalent.

The Arzelà-Ascoli theorem is the following, well known statement:

> Let $f_n\colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be an equicontinuous sequence of functions. Then there exists a subsequence of $\big(f_n\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which converges uniformly.

Instead of the interval $[0,1]$ one could take any compact set. The term *equicontinuous* means that

$$\forall l\, \forall x \in [0,1]\, \exists j\, \forall n\, \forall y \in [0,1]\ \big(|x-y| < 2^{-j} \to |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < 2^{-l}\big)\,.$$

We will give two different formalizations of this theorem, show how these can be reduced to suitable instances of the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle and, using this, obtain a classification of them in the sense of reverse mathematics and computable analysis.

1. Bolzano-Weierstrass

In [9] we investigated the strength of the following two variants of the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle:

- The (strong) Bolzano-Weierstraß principle (BW) is the statement that each bounded sequence of real numbers contains a subsequence converging at the rate 2^{-k}. (This is the usual formulation in reverse mathematics. The rate 2^{-k} stems from the fact that real numbers are coded as sequences that converge at this rate. However, 2^{-k} is just an arbitrarily chosen rate. In fact, one can easily convert a sequence converging at a given rate into a sequence converging at any other given rate.)

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03F60; Secondary 03D80, 03B30.

Key words and phrases. Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, Bolzano-Weierstraß principle, computable analysis, reverse mathematics.

The author is supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG Project KO 1737/5-1).

- The weak Bolzano-Weierstraß principle ($\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}$) is the statement that each bounded sequence of real numbers contains a subsequence that converges but possibly without any rate recursive in the system.

It is well known that BW is equivalent to ACA_0, see [12]. We showed that instances of BW are equivalent to instances of Σ^0_1-WKL, that is WKL for trees given by a Σ^0_1-predicate. Moreover we showed that the principle $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ is (instance-wise) equivalent to the so-called strong cohesive principle (StCOH). In particular, it does not imply ACA_0. See [9].

We will write $\mathsf{BW}_{(\mathsf{weak})}((x_n))$ for $\mathsf{BW}_{(\mathsf{weak})}$ restricted to the sequence (x_n).

2. Arzelà-Ascoli

According to the two variants of the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle we can formalize the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem in two different variants. Before we will come to this, we define equicontinuity.

Definition 1 (equicontinuity)**.** A sequence of functions $f_n\colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is said to be *equicontinuous* if the functions f_n are continuous and have a common, continuous modulus of continuity, i.e. there exists a continuous function $\phi(x,l)$ satisfying

$$\forall l\, \forall n\, \forall x, y \in [0,1] \left(|x-y| < 2^{-\phi(x,l)} \to |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < 2^{-l} \right). \tag{1}$$

We call a sequence of functions *uniformly equicontinuous* if the modulus of continuity does not depend on x, i.e. $\phi(x,l) = \phi'(l)$.

Recall that in [12] continuous functions are defined in a way such that the modulus of continuity is definable. Thus, Definition 1 is just a straight forward generalization.

Definition 2 (Arzelà-Ascoli)**.** Let $f_n\colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be an arbitrary equicontinuous sequence of functions.

- The (strong) variant of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem (AA) is the statement that there exists a subsequence $f_{g(n)}$ which converges uniformly at the rate 2^{-k}, i.e.
$$\forall k\, \forall n, n' > k \sup_{x\in[0,1]} \left(\left| f_{g(n)}(x) - f_{g(n')}(x) \right| \right) < 2^{-k}.$$
- The weak variant of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem ($\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$) is the statement that there exists a subsequence $f_{g(n)}$ which converges uniformly possibly without any given rate, i.e.
$$\forall k\, \exists m\, \forall n, n' > m \sup_{x\in[0,1]} \left(\left| f_{g(n)}(x) - f_{g(n')}(x) \right| \right) < 2^{-k}.$$

If we additionally assume $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be *uniformly* equicontinuous we write AA^{uni} resp. $\mathsf{AA}^{uni}_{\mathsf{weak}}$. In the case, where we restrict us the instance given by this particular $\left(f_n\right)_n$ we write $\mathsf{AA}^{(uni)}_{(\mathsf{weak})}(\left(f_n\right)_n)$, resp. $\mathsf{AA}^{(uni)}_{(\mathsf{weak})}(X)$ if X is a code for $\left(f_n\right)$.

Simpson showed that AA is equivalent to ACA_0, see [12, 11]. (Actually he did not assume the existence of a modulus of equicontinuity but only equicontinuity. However, relative to ACA_0, as well as this formulation of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, the modulus can be constructed out of this.) In [6] the strength of instances of AA was investigated. It was show that instances of AA follow from instances of Π^0_1-CA and a weak non-standard axiom.

We will now show how one can reduce (instance-wise) the principle AA^{uni} and $\mathsf{AA}^{uni}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ to BW resp. $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}$. Since the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem trivially implies the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle, we obtain a tight classification. In Section 3 we will deal with the non-uniformly equicontinuous case.

In the following, we will denote by $q(i)$ an enumeration of $\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,1]$. By $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ we will denote the usual product space with the usual product metric $d((x_i),(y_i)) := \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{-i}\,|x_i - y_i|$.

Lemma 3. *Let $f_n\colon [0,1]\to[0,1]$ be a uniformly equicontinuous sequence of functions. Relative to RCA_0 the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\big(f_n\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly,
(ii) $\big(f_n\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise on $\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,1]$, i.e. $\big(f_n(q(i))\big)_i$ converges in $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ for $n\to\infty$.

Proof. The implication $(i)\to(ii)$ is clear. We show $(ii)\to(i)$. Suppose that $\big(f_n\big)$ converges pointwise on $\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,1]$. We have to show that for a given k there is an m such that

$$\forall n,n' > m \sup_{x\in[0,1]} (|f_n(x) - f_{n'}(x)|) < 2^{-k}. \tag{2}$$

By uniform equicontinuity there is a $j := \phi'(k+2)$ such that

$$\forall n\,\forall x,y\in[0,1]\ \Big(|x-y| < 2^{-j} \to |f(x)-f(y)| < 2^{-(k+2)}\Big). \tag{3}$$

Now by assumption $\big(f_n(y)\big)$ converges for each y in the set

$$Y_j := \left\{ \frac{i}{2^{-(j+1)}} \;\middle|\; 0\le i\le 2^{-(j+1)} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}.$$

Moreover by the definition of d we know that all $\big(f_n(y)\big)$ with $y\in Y_j$ are ϵ-close to their limit-points in $[0,1]$ if $\big(f_n(q(i))\big)$ is $2^{-\max q^{-1}(Y_j)}\epsilon$-close to its limit-point in $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$. In particular, we get by setting ϵ to $2^{-(k+2)}$

$$\exists m'\,\forall y\in Y_j\,\forall n,n' > m'\ \Big(|f_n(y) - f_{n'}(y)| < 2^{-(k+2)}\Big). \tag{4}$$

We claim that setting $m := m'$ satisfies (2). Indeed, for $n,n' > m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x) - f_{n'}(x)| &< |f_n(y) - f_{n'}(y)| + 2\cdot 2^{-(k+2)} && \text{by (3), where } y \text{ is a } 2^{-(j+1)}\text{-close to } x \text{ element of } Y_j \\ &< 3\cdot 2^{-(k+2)} && \text{by (4)} \\ &< 2^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows. □

For convergence with a rate we have a similar result.

Corollary 4. *Let $f_n\colon[0,1]\to[0,1]$ be a uniformly equicontinuous sequence of functions. Then relative to RCA_0 the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\big(f_n\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly at a given rate,
(ii) $\big(f_n\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise on $\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,1]$ at a given rate.

We do not state a fixed rate here since the rates may differ. However, they can be uniformly calculate from each other and the modulus of uniform equicontinuity.

Proof. Similar to Lemma 3. Again the implication $(i) \to (ii)$ is trivial. For the implication $(ii) \to (i)$ note that a careful inspection of the proof of Lemma 3 yields that $\big(f_n\big)$ is 2^{-k}-close to its uniform limit point if $\big(f_n(q(i))\big)$ is $2^{-(k+\max q^{-1}(Y_{\phi'(k)}))}$-close in $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$. □

Now to reduce the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem for a sequence of uniformly equicontinuous functions $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to a suitable instance of the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle we considered the following mapping

$$F\colon f \mapsto \big(f(q(i))\big)_i \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

With this function we get a sequence $\big(F(f_n)\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ and by Lemma 3 we know that for any subsequence given by g we have

$$\big(F(f_{g(n)})\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ converges in } [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}} \quad \text{iff} \quad \big(f_{g(n)}\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ converges uniformly.} \tag{5}$$

Since one can map the unit interval $[0,1]$ isometrically into the Cantor space $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ (take for instance the binary expansion), we can modify F such that it maps into $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and the equivalence in (5) remains true. Since $\big(2^{\mathbb{N}}\big)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is homeomorphic to the Cantor space, we can again modify F and obtain a function F' such that

$$\big(F'(f_{g(n)})\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ converges in } 2^{\mathbb{N}} \quad \text{iff} \quad \big(f_{g(n)}\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ converges uniformly.} \tag{6}$$

Thus, we reduced $\mathsf{AA}^{uni}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ to the weak Bolzano-Weierstraß principle on the Cantor space, which is (instance-wise) equivalent to $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}$, see [9, Lemma 2.1]. Hence, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Over* RCA_0 *the principles* $\mathsf{AA}^{uni}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ *and* $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ *are instance-wise equivalent, i.e. there exists codes of Turing machines* e_1, e_2 *such that*

1) $\mathsf{RCA}_0 \vdash \forall X\ \big(\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}(\{e_1\}^X) \to \mathsf{AA}^{uni}_{\mathsf{weak}}(X)\big)$,
2) $\mathsf{RCA}_0 \vdash \forall X\ \big(\mathsf{AA}^{uni}_{\mathsf{weak}}(\{e_2\}^X) \to \mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}(X)\big)$.

In particular, $\mathsf{AA}^{uni}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ *is also instance-wise equivalent to the strong cohesive principle.*

Proof. One checks that the argument in the discussion before the theorem formalizes in RCA_0. Setting e_1 to be the code of the Turing machine which calculates F' yields then 1).

For 2) let e_2 be the code of the Turing machine which maps the sequence of numbers coded by X to the sequence of constant functions having that values. This instance of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem trivially implies the weak Bolzano-Weierstraß principle.

For the equivalence to the strong cohesive principle see [9, Theorem 3.2]. □

Replacing Lemma 3 by Corollary 4 and $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ by BW and noting that the rate of convergence in the proof can be explicitly calculate yields the following corollary.

Corollary 6. *Over* RCA_0 *the principles* AA^{uni} *and* BW *are instance-wise equivalent. In particular,* AA^{uni} *is also instance-wise equivalent to* Σ^0_1-WKL.

3. Uniform equicontinuity versus equicontinuity

Proposition 7. *Let* $\big(f_n\big)$ *be an equicontinuous sequence of functions. The system* WKL_0 *proves that* $\big(f_n\big)$ *is uniformly equicontinuous.*

Proof. Let $\phi(x,l)$ be a modulus of equicontinuity for (f_n). By Theorem IV.2.2 in [12] for each l there exists the maximum of $\lambda x.\phi(x,l)$. A careful inspection of the proof of this theorem shows that this process parallelizes. Thus. we can define a function $\phi'(l)$ such that $\phi(x,l) \leq \phi'(l)$ for all $x \in [0,1]$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Since (1) in Definition 1 is monotone in ϕ, the function $\lambda x, l.\phi'(l)$ satisfies the sentence. Thus, it is a modulus of uniform equicontinuity. □

Using this, we can immediately refine Theorem 5 and Corollary 6 and obtain the following corollary and theorem.

Corollary 8. *Over* WKL_0 *the principles* $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$, $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}$, StCOH *are instance-wise equivalent. (Actually only to show* $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ *the principle* WKL *is needed.)*

Proof. By Proposition 7 every equicontinuous sequence of functions is in WKL_0 uniformly equicontinuous. Thus, there is no difference between $\mathsf{AA}^{uni}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ and $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ in WKL_0 and this corollary follows from Theorem 5. □

Theorem 9. *Over* RCA_0 *the principles* AA, BW, Σ^0_1-WKL *are instance-wise equivalent.*

Proof. We show that there exists an e such that

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0 \vdash \forall X \ \left(\mathsf{BW}(\{e\}^X) \to \mathsf{AA}(X)\right).$$

Fix an X that codes a sequence of equicontinuous functions. Note that in the proof of Proposition 7 the principle WKL is only used for trees recursive in $\phi(x,l)$ and thus recursive in X.

To ask whether a given node x in a 0/1-tree T has infinitely many successors is the Π^0_1-statement $\forall n\, \exists y \in 2^n\, x * y \in T$. If we can decide this for each node in an infinite 0/1-tree T, we can build an infinite branch by searching for the leftmost branch of nodes having infinitely many successors. Thus, an instance of Π^0_1-comprehension recursive in X suffices to show WKL. By Theorem 5.5 and Lemma 4.1 of [7] a suitable instance of BW (even the weaker principle of convergence for monotone sequences) implies this instance of Π^0_1-comprehension. Thus, we can find an e' such that $\mathsf{BW}(\{e'\}^X)$ implies that the modulus of uniform continuity ϕ' for the sequence of functions coded by X exists. By the proof of Corollary 6 there is an e'' such that $\mathsf{BW}(\{e''\}^X)$ shows that the sequence of functions converges pointwise on $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$.

In the argument of the proof of Corollary 6 the modulus ϕ' is only used after the pointwise converging sequence is built. Thus, this is enough to show $\mathsf{AA}((f_n))$.

Now the two instances of BW can be coded into an instance of the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle on $[0,1]^2$. This instance is again equivalent to an instance of BW. Let e be the code of a Turing-machine which computes this instance. This concludes the proof. □

This yields as corollary the following classification of Simpson of the principle AA.

Corollary 10 ([12, 11])**.** *Over* RCA_0 *the principles* AA *and* ACA_0 *are equivalent.*

We also obtain the following computational classification.

Corollary 11. *Let d be a Turing degree with $d \gg 0'$, i.e. d contains an infinite branch for each infinite $0'$-computable 0/1-tree. Then each computable sequence of equicontinuous functions $f_n \colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ has a subsequence $(f_{(g(n))})$ computable in d, which converges uniformly with the rate 2^{-n}.*

Proof. A degree $d \gg 0'$ contains solutions to each computable instance of Σ^0_1-WKL. The corollary follows from this and Theorem 9. □

Since AA instance-wise implies Σ^0_1-WKL, this corollary is optimal.

We will now show that WKL_0 is necessary in Corollary 8 by showing that $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ implies it. Since $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ is equivalent to StCOH which does not imply WKL, see [3, Lemma 9.14] and note that in ω-models COH and StCOH are the same, the system cannot be weakened to RCA_0.

Proposition 12.

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0 \vdash \mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}} \to \mathsf{WKL}$$

Proof. We will show that $\neg\mathsf{WKL} \to \neg\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$. The construction is inspired by Theorem IV.2.3 of [12].

Let $T \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a tree which is infinite but does not have an infinite path. Such a tree exists by $\neg\mathsf{WKL}$. Define $\tilde{T}$ to be set of $u \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ such that $u \notin T \wedge \forall t \subsetneq u\ (t \in T)$.

Let C be the Cantor middle-third set given by

$$C := \left\{ \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{2\cdot f(i)}{3^{i+1}} \in [0,1] \;\middle|\; f \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \right\}.$$

Further, for each $s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ let

$$a_s := \sum_{i<\mathrm{lth}(s)} \frac{2\cdot (s)_i}{3^{i+1}}, \qquad b_s = a_s + \frac{1}{3^{\mathrm{lth}(s)}}.$$

We now consider the set $S := \bigcup_{s\in\tilde{T}} [a_s, b_s]$. Since the intervals $[a_s, b_s]$ with $s \in \tilde{T}$ are disjoint, for each $x \in S$ there is exactly one s such that $x \in [a_s, b_s]$.

We claim that $C \subseteq S$. Indeed for each $x \in C$ the exists a unique f such that $x \in [a_{f(n)}, b_{f(n)}]$. Since the tree T has no infinite path and, therefore, f is no such path, there is an n such that $f(n) \in \tilde{T}$ and $x \in [a_{f(n)}, b_{f(n)}]$.

For each $x \notin S$ we have $x \notin C$. By the properties of C there exists a unique s, such that $x \in (b_{s*\langle 0\rangle}, a_{s*\langle 1\rangle})$. Since $a_{s*\langle 1\rangle}, b_{s*\langle 0\rangle} \in C \subseteq S$ there are unique $v, w \in \tilde{T}$, such that

$$b_{s*\langle 0\rangle} \in [a_v, b_v], \qquad a_{s*\langle 1\rangle} \in [a_w, b_w]$$

and thus $x \in (b_v, a_w)$ and $(b_v, a_w) \cap S = \emptyset$ for unique $v, w \in \tilde{T}$.

We now construct an equicontinuous sequence of functions $f_n \colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ such that f_n does converge pointwise to the constant 0 function but does not converge uniformly.

We define f_n on the set S and use linear interpolation on $[0,1] \setminus S$.

We set f_n to 1 on $[a_s, b_s]$ if $\mathrm{lth}(s) > n$ and to 0 if $\mathrm{lth}(s) \leq n$. It follows that f_n converges pointwise to the constant 0 function. Since T is infinite, there are arbitrary long s and we can find for each n an x such that $f_n(x) = 1$. Thus, f_n does not converge uniformly.

In total f_n is given by the following expression.

$$f_n(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [a_s, b_s] \text{ for } s \in \tilde{T} \text{ and } \mathrm{lth}(s) > n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in [a_s, b_s] \text{ for } s \in \tilde{T} \text{ and } \mathrm{lth}(s) \leq n, \\ f_n(b_v) + \frac{x-b_v}{a_w-b_v}(f_n(a_w) - f_n(b_v)) & \text{if } x \in (b_v, a_w) \text{ for } v, w \in \tilde{T} \\ & \quad \text{and } (b_v, a_w) \cap S = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

The functions f_n are well-defined since s resp. u, v are uniquely determined for each x. It is clear the each f_n is continuous. We argue now that the sequence is equicontinuous. On the intervals (a_s, b_s) with $s \in \tilde{T}$ each f_n is constant and, therefore, we can define the modulus of equicontinuity here easily. On the intervals $[b_v, a_w]$ with $v, w \in \tilde{T}$ and $(b_v, a_w) \cap S = \emptyset$ the gradient of each f_n is at most $\left|\frac{1}{a_w - b_v}\right|$. Thus, the modulus of equicontinuity can also be defined here.

The sequence of functions (f_n) provides a counterexample to $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$. This concludes the proof of the proposition. □

With this we obtain the following classification of $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$.

Theorem 13. *Relative to* RCA_0 *the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$,
(ii) $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}} + \mathsf{WKL}$,
(iii) $\mathsf{StCOH} + \mathsf{WKL}$.

Proof. The implication $(i) \to (ii)$ follows from Theorem 5 and Proposition 12; the implication $(ii) \to (i)$ follows from Theorem 5 and Proposition 7. For $(ii) \leftrightarrow (iii)$ see [9, Theorem 3.2]. □

In the case of $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ the principle WKL is only needed in the verification of the solution and not in the computation of it. Thus, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 14. *Every equicontinuous sequence* $f_n \colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ *contains a* low_2 *uniformly converging subsequence* $\left(f_{g(n)}\right)_n$ *(possibly without a computable rate).*

Proof. By [9, Theorem 3.5] each computable instance of $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ has a low_2 solution. Since g is computed by an instance of $\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{weak}}$, see discussion before Theorem 5, it is also low_2.

Note that for this computation the modulus of uniform equicontinuity is not needed. The only use of the modulus of uniform equicontinuity is the verification in Lemma 3. Thus, it suffices that the modulus of uniform equicontinuity exists and we do not have to compute it. □

Using Theorem 13 one can extend the conservation and program extraction results obtained in [3, 4] and [10] to $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ and obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 15.

1) $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ *is* Π^1_1*-conservative over* $\mathsf{RCA}_0 + \Pi^0_1\text{-}\mathsf{CP}$ *and* $\mathsf{RCA}_0 + \Sigma^0_2\text{-}\mathsf{IA}$.
2) From a proof of a sentence of the form $\forall f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\, \exists x \in \mathbb{N}\, A_{qf}(f, x)$ *in the system* $\mathsf{WKL}^{\omega}_0 + \Pi^0_1\text{-}\mathsf{CP} + \mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ *one can extract a primitive recursive term* t *realizing* x*, i.e. a term* t *such that* $\forall f\, A_{qf}(f, t(f))$ *holds.*

In particular, $\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}}$ *is* Π^0_2*-conservative over* PRA.

Proof. For 1) see [3] for the conservativity over $\mathsf{RCA}_0 + \Sigma^0_2\text{-}\mathsf{IA}$ and [4] for the conservativity over $\mathsf{RCA}_0 + \Pi^0_1\text{-}\mathsf{CP}$ and note that StCOH is equivalent to $\mathsf{COH} + \Pi^0_1\text{-}\mathsf{CP}$.

For 2) see [10, Corollary 38]. □

Remark 16. The classification of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem can also be formulated in terms of the Weihrauch-lattice. We will not introduce the notation for the Weihrauch-lattice but refer the reader to [1, 2].

Continuous functions $f\colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ can be represented as associates on the Baire-space, see [5, 8]. We will denote this space by $\mathcal{C}([0,1],[0,1])$ and the representation by $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$. With this we can formulate the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem as partial multifunction between realized spaces which maps sequences of equicontinuous functions to its uniform limit points, i.e.

$$\mathsf{AA} :\subseteq (\mathcal{C}([0,1],[0,1]),\delta_{\mathcal{C}})^{\mathbb{N}} \rightrightarrows (\mathcal{C}([0,1],[0,1]),\delta_{\mathcal{C}})$$

with $dom(\mathsf{AA}) = \{\, (f_n) \mid (f_n) \text{ equicontinuous} \,\}$. As customary in the Weihrauch-lattice, we do not assume that any extra information—like a modulus of equicontinuity—is given as input. However, we are working in full set-theory; thus we know that a modulus of uniform equicontinuity exists.

The weak variant of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem can be modelled by using the derived representation $\delta'_{\mathcal{C}}$. Here an element is represented by a sequence converging to a name in the original representation. In other words, $\delta'_{\mathcal{C}} := \delta_{\mathcal{C}} \circ \lim$. See [2, Sec. 5] and [13]. Thus

$$\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}} :\subseteq (\mathcal{C}([0,1],[0,1]),\delta_{\mathcal{C}})^{\mathbb{N}} \rightrightarrows (\mathcal{C}([0,1],[0,1]),\delta'_{\mathcal{C}})\,.$$

It is easy to see that lim is sufficient to build a modulus of uniform continuity. Thus, we can argue as in the proof of Theorem 9 and obtain

$$\mathsf{AA} \leq_W \lim \times \mathsf{BWT}_{[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}} \equiv_W \mathsf{BWT}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

and in total $\mathsf{AA} \equiv_W \mathsf{BWT}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Similarly, we obtain that

$$\mathsf{AA}_{\mathsf{weak}} \equiv_W \mathsf{WBWT}_{[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}} \equiv_W \mathsf{WBWT}_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where WBWT_X is the weak Bolzano-Weierstraß principle. Here the calculation of the modulus of uniform equicontinuity can be done in the representation, since it involves a lim, and $\mathsf{WBWT}_{[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}} \equiv_W \mathsf{WBWT}_{\mathbb{R}}$ gives the values of solution on the rational numbers in $[0,1]$. With this an associate of solution can be defined.

References

1. Vasco Brattka and Guido Gherardi, *Effective choice and boundedness principles in computable analysis*, Bull. Symbolic Logic **17** (2011), no. 1, 73–117. MR 2760117
2. Vasco Brattka, Guido Gherardi, and Alberto Marcone, *The Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem is the jump of weak König's lemma*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **163** (2012), no. 6, 623–655, Computability in Europe 2010.
3. Peter A. Cholak, Carl G. Jockusch, Jr., and Theodore A. Slaman, *On the strength of Ramsey's theorem for pairs*, J. Symbolic Logic **66** (2001), no. 1, 1–55. MR 1825173
4. Chitat T. Chong, Theodore Slaman, and Yue Yang, Π^0_1*-conservation of combinatorial principles weaker than Ramsey's theorem for pairs*, forthcomming in Advances in Mathematics.
5. Stephen C. Kleene, *Countable functionals*, Constructivity in mathematics: Proceedings of the colloquium held at Amsterdam, 1957 (edited by A. Heyting), Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1959, pp. 81–100. MR 0112837
6. Ulrich Kohlenbach, *Arithmetizing proofs in analysis*, Logic Colloquium '96 (San Sebastián) (J. M. Larrazabal, D. Lascar, and G. Mints, eds.), Lecture Notes in Logic, vol. 12, Springer, Berlin, 1998, pp. 115–158. MR 1674949
7. ———, *Things that can and things that cannot be done in PRA*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **102** (2000), no. 3, 223–245. MR 1740484
8. Georg Kreisel, *Interpretation of analysis by means of constructive functionals of finite types*, Constructivity in mathematics: Proceedings of the colloquium held at Amsterdam, 1957 (edited by A. Heyting), Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1959, pp. 101–128. MR 0106838

9. Alexander P. Kreuzer, *The cohesive principle and the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle*, Math. Logic Quart. **57** (2011), no. 3, 292–298. MR 2839129
10. Alexander P. Kreuzer and Ulrich Kohlenbach, *Term extraction and Ramsey's theorem for pairs*, accepted for publication in the J. Symbolic Logic, preprint available at `http://www.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/~akreuzer/files/TermExtractionAndRT22.final.pdf`.
11. Stephen G. Simpson, *Which set existence axioms are needed to prove the Cauchy/Peano theorem for ordinary differential equations?*, J. Symbolic Logic **49** (1984), no. 3, 783–802. MR 758929
12. ———, *Subsystems of second order arithmetic*, second ed., Perspectives in Logic, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. MR 2517689
13. Martin Ziegler, *Revising type-2 computation and degrees of discontinuity*, Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Computability and Complexity in Analysis (CCA 2006) (Amsterdam), Electron. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci., vol. 167, Elsevier, 2007, pp. 255–274 (electronic). MR 2321788

Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schlossgartenstrasse 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany

E-mail address: `akreuzer@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de`